1 2 3 4 5 6	IRELL & MANELLA LLP Andra Barmash Greene (123931) agreene@irell.com A. Matthew Ashley (198235) mashley@irell.com Justin N. Owens (254733) jowens@irell.com 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324 Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200			
7	Attorneys for Defendants			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11	JACOB SABATINO, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:15-cv-00363-JST		
12	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JUSTIN N. OWENS IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION TO EXTEND DATES FOR CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RULE 26 OBLIGATIONS PENDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING ARBITRATION Action Filed: January 26, 2015		
13	vs.			
14	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware)			
15	corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware) limited liability company; RASIER-CA, LLC,			
16	a Delaware limited liability company; RASIER-DC, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; RASIER-PA, LLC, a			
17 18	Delaware limited liability company; and DOES) 1 to 25, inclusive,			
19	Defendants.			
20)			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

I, Justin N. Owens, nereby dectare as follows:		
1. I am an attorney at law, admitted to practice in the United States District Court,		
Northern District of California, and I am an associate with the law firm of Irell & Manella LLP,		
counsel for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, Rasier-CA, LLC, Rasier-DC, LLC		
and Rasier-PA, LLC ("Defendants").		
2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called upon to testify		
could and would competently testify thereto.		
3. I make this declaration in support of the Parties' stipulation to extend dates for the		
case management conference and Rule 26 obligations pending Defendants' Motion to Stay		
Proceeding Pending Arbitration.		
4. On January 26, 2015, Plaintiff filed his putative class action complaint against		
Defendants. Dkt. No. 1.		
5. On February 18, 2015, the Court ordered this case related to <i>Pappey v. Uber</i>		
Technologies, Inc. (United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco		
Division, Case No. 3:15-cv-00064-JST) and Philliben, et. al v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et. al		
(United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No.		
3:14-cv-05615-JST). Dkt. No. 23 in Case No. 14-cv-05615-JST. This case was reassigned to this		
Court on February 19, 2015.		
6. On March 23, 2015, Defendants filed their Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending		
Arbitration, with a hearing date of May 21, 2015. Dkt. No. 27.		
7. The Court has set a date of April 15, 2015 for the initial case management		
conference in this matter, and the Parties' joint case management statement is currently due April		
1, 2015. Dkt. No. 25. The deadline for the conference of parties pursuant to Rule 26(f) is		
currently March 25, 2015, which is 21 days prior to the case management conference.		
8. In light of the foregoing, the Parties desire to conserve resources pending resolution		
of the Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration, including by postponing the case		
management conference and associated deadlines, and by extending upcoming discovery		
deadlines and obligations under Rule 26.		

1	9.	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-20	(a)(2), requiring the disclosure of all previous time	
2	modifications in the case, whether by stipulation or by Court order, there have been two (2)			
3	previous time modifications in this case. Dkt. Nos. 19, 26.			
4	10.	Approval of the Parties' stipulation will change the deadlines in the action as		
5	follows:			
6	a.	The case management conference currently set for April 15, 2015, will be		
7		rescheduled to 2:00 p.m. on the f	ifth Wednesday following the Court's ruling on	
8		Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration (or as soon thereafter		
9		as the Court is available); additionally, the Parties' deadlines under Rule 26,		
10	including the conference of the parties pursuant to Rule 26(f) and initial disclosures			
11	under Rule 26(a), shall be extended and all discovery stayed, and the Parties shall			
12	conduct the Rule 26(f) conference at least 21 days before the rescheduled case			
13	management conference.			
14	Or, in the alternative:			
15	b.	The Parties' deadline for initial disclosures under Rule 26(a), and all other		
16		discovery, be stayed until 28 days after Court rules on the Motion to Stay		
17	Proceedings Pending Arbitration			
18				
19	Dated: March	24, 2015	IRELL & MANELLA LLP	
20				
21			By: /s/ Justin N. Owens	
22			Andra B. Greene	
23			A. Matthew Ashley Justin N. Owens	
24			Attorneys for Defendants	
25				
26				
27				
28				
			3 -	